# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY Plaintiff.

V

Civil No: 1:CV-05-0815

RONNIE HOLT, WARDEN Defendant

#### Brief in Support of Civil Rights Compliant under 28 U.S.C. B 1331

I, Thomas Shane Matherly, now come before this court to ask this court to grant my complaint and the judgement that I seek against the defendant, Mr. Ronnie Holt, for violation of my civil rights.

#### Statement of Facts

On January 27, 2004, I arrived at the FCI Schuylkill facility to serve my sentence that I had received in <u>US v Matherly</u> CRO3-422-A. I was not immediately teamed when I got to the facility but around February 25, 2004, I was scheduled to see Mr. Whalen who was my case manager in Unit 1A. Two days later after that team meeting I was confronted by an inmate who lived in the same housing unit as I did. He questioned me about my prior convictions and I told him that the rumor he heard was not true. After that confrontation I reported the incident to Mr. Whalen and he advised me to lie about my conviction due to the sensitive nature of my prior and current convictions. On March 4, 2004, a week after the first confrontation, upon returning to my room after work, I was confronted by a different inmate about my criminal history, William Lowry confronted me about my history

and told me that he knew all about my first conviction <u>US v Matherly</u> 00-CR-238 and about the current conviction as well. He told me in detail about the FBI sting operation and how I traveled from Springfield VA to Columbia, MD to meet what I thought was a 13yo girl for sex but it turned out to be an undercover agent. He also told me he knew about my current fantasies as well. All of these details are spelled out in my Pre-sentence Investigation Report. Also during the encounter he stated that he obtained the information about me from an outside source. I have sufficient evidence to discredit that statement as well as a letter from the District Court in Alexandria, VA stating that a PSI is filed Under Seal. After that encounter Mr. Lowry went to discuss the matter with two additional inmates. He then brought one of the inmates back who is Mr. John Beacham. Both of them told me that I had to turn myself into the SHU before dinner.

After leaving the room I had reported the encounter to the CO that was on duty at that time. I told him that I was a sex offender and that I had been confronted and told to report to the SHU. The LT who talked to me asked me to identify the inmates who confronted me but I refused because of concerns for my families safety and from the threat of the two inmates as to what would happen to my family if any of them ended up in the SHU. So from March 4 - March 10 I was placed in the SHU for Protective Custody. On Friday, March 5, 2004, I was ordered to return to the compound because SIS did not see any potential threat existed. I refused and received a 306 incident report for refusing programs. After that incident I received another order to return to the compound and to avoid another incident report I did. On March 10, 2004, I left the SHU and returned to the same Unit 1A but not the same room. On Friday March 12, 2004, Mr. Lowry entered my room that was not his living area and confronted me again. This

time I went to the Unit Manager Mr. McFadden and asked him if I could be transferred to another Unit. He asked me if I thought that would solve the problem and I responded that I wasn't sure but that I was willing to try. After I left and went to lunch, a CO came looking for me and escorted me to the LT's office. The LT asked me if I had some guys on the compound after me. I told him that I did because of my sex offense convictions. So I was taken back to the SHU to be placed in Protective Custody. On Thursday, March 18, 2004, I met with the SIS Lt and he stated that he was going to certify my status and keep me in PC. So from March 13, 2004 to March 21, 2005, I was in the SHU under PC status.

After being in the SHU for seven months I filed a BP-8 to inform staff that I believed a staff member violated the FOI/PA of 1974 and released my information to the above mentioned inmates. I had filed sensative complaints at the Regional and Central levels but they were denied as non-sensative. After filing the BP-8 and not getting a desired response, I filed a BP-9 with the Warden. He responded in a timely manner but I was not satisfied with his response. (Exhibit A) I then filed a BP-10 to the BOP Northeast Regional Office. They did not send a response back to me in the time allowed nor did they file for a time extension. Therefore there is not reponse from Region. I then filed a BP-11 to the Central Office stating that Region did not reply and cited there own Program Statement 1330.13 section 12 dealing with reponse time. (Exhibit B & C). They rejected my appeal. Now I have filed this motion because I have exhausted my remedies in prison and have not received the remedy I was seeking.

#### Arguement

Mr. Lowry made a claim to me that he had received the information about from the outside. Exhibit E-H will show that he could not have gotten detailed information about me between Jan 27 and March 4 that quickly. On December 9, 2004 I asked my case manager Mr. Whalen if he would administer an oath on my FOI/PA request forms in accordance with 18 USC 4004. Then I mailed out those requests to the following divisions within the Justice Department: Federal Bureau of Investigation, Burea of Prisons -Northeast Regional Office, Executive Office for United States Attorneys, Criminal Division - DOJ. Each of these requests took a little bit of time to respond to the request and to acknowledge receipt plus it took a little more time to search through their records or to request further clarification on which database I would like to have searched. Therefore, it would be very hard to believe that with the time involved to process the request form Mr. Lowry received the detailed information about me from an outside source. Also, Mr. Lowry claimed that he got the information from my PSI from the outside as well. Exhibit D will show that his claim is untrue because PSI's are filed under seal.

Therefore since Mr. Lowry could not have gotten the information from my PSI or the information quickly from the outside a logical conclusion is that he obtained access to my central file from a staff member at FCI Schuylkill. Since the Warden stated in his response that he would not disclose any information about his

investigation to me I am forced to name him as the primary defendant in this investigation. Mr. Holt is responsible for the actions and conduct of his staff and those actions and conduct resulted in a violation of the FOI/PA of 1974 and 5 USC 552a. It also caused my personal safety to be put in jeopardy as well as the safety of other sex offenders who may reside at FCI Schuylkill.

The remedy I am seeking is listed in my petition and does not need to be restated in this brief.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, I believe I have presented enough evidence and arguement that shows that the staff was directly responsible for the violation or at the least was negligent in allowing an inmate to find a way to gain access to my PSI and Central file. Therefore, I would ask this court to grant my petion and complaint and grant me the remedies I seek.

Signed this 30th day of April, 2005

Signature of Plaintiff

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

4-30 05

Date

Signature of Plaintiff

Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 6 of 25

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true copy of the foregoing "Brief in Support of Civil Rights Complaint Under 42 USC §1983 or 28 USC §1331" was mail postage prepaid to the defendant listed below this  $\underline{4}$  day of  $\underline{\mathcal{M}_{GC}}$ , 2005.

THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY

Ronnie Holt, Warden FCI Schuylkill PO Box 700 Schuylkill PA 19754-0700 Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 7 of 25

## Certificate of Mailing

I HEREBY CERTIFY that this brief was dropped in the FCI Butner instituional mail box on Sunday, May 1, 2005.

Thomas Shane Matherly

# Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Dopument Filed 05/06/05 Page 8 of 25

# FCI Schuylkill, Pennsylvania

# ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY PROCEDURE FOR INMATES INFORMAL RESOLUTION FORM

**NOTE TO INMATE**: You are advised that prior to receiving and filing a Request for Administrative Remedy Form BP-9 (BP-229(13)), you <u>MUST</u> attempt to informally resolve your complaint through your Correctional Counselor. Briefly state <u>ONE</u> complaint below and list what efforts you have made to resolve your complaint informally and state the names of staff contacted.

Starr contacted.	
Issued By: Tachmanics (Initials of Correctional Counselor)	
Date Issued To The Inmate: K-5 C4	
INMATE'S COMMENTS:	
1. Complaint: A St. ff a white alexand sparition info to an emuate in Unit 1th during the time period of Feb 26 - Mar 41. Shir is a will other of Foza / Preirrey Act of 1974	
b. Efforts you have made to informally resolve complaint: Irun to send invention complaint to legen t Control rejected on non sealing of the potential threat	matric
3. Names of staff you contacted: Mr. Welon, Region Office, Centre	<u>.                                    </u>
Date Returned to Correctional Counselor: 10-4 10 10-15-04 Tinmate's Signature Reg. Number Date	<del></del>
CORRECTIONAL COUNSELOR'S COMMENTS:	
1. Efforts made to informally resolve and staff contacted: After 59841 with 515 THERE JUNEINSMICH PROVED HERE BY NO EVIDENT YOUR CLASS.	<u>un</u>
Date BP-9 Issued: /:-7-01 # 95:1 Talends Correctional Coun	selor
Date Informally Resolved: # Inmate's Signature	e
Unit Manager Review: W. Whalen, Oxy Date: 10-14-14	
<u>Distribution</u> : If complaint is <u>NOT</u> informally resolved - Forward original atta BP-9 Form to the Associate Warden of Operations. If complaint informally resolve maintain copy with Informal Resolution Log Book.	.ched to d -

Attachment 2

EXHIBIT A

100/ 2 22 24
U.S. DEPARTMEGASE JUSTE EV-PO815-WWC-PT DOCUMENT TECHNOLOGY OF 25 9-23-01 Federal Bureau of Prisons  OCI 2.0.200  OCI 2.0.200  FOR THE POST OF THE POS
Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four copies. Additional instructions on reverse.
Type or use ball-point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four, capies. Additional instructions on reverse.  WARDEN'S OFFICE  From: MATHERLY Thomas Shane  14 (Still) FCT Schoy (kill  LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL  REG. NO.  UNIT INSTITUTION
Part A- INMATE REQUEST On March 4, 2004 at 3: 30 pm 2 wen confronted by an inmate,
who was alw a remmete in a commen area, about my converting. He told
me in great detail about the FBT operation of my first conviction and
detailed psychiotric info from my second conviction all of which in in
my PSI. After doing outside investigation & have concluded that a
staff member gave their confidential info to the innate. I filed a
complaint with Regional Office but they rejected it saying it wasn't
sentative. Here in the reasons I have my accounting on: @ I had no
copy of my PSF with me according to policy of BSP, & clark of the Court
in Alexandria VA told my more in a phone call that the Psi was not
mode public record and the Po wen the only parson certains the prison
with a copy; 3 None had written or world convent from me to file
a FUTA in acovalance with the FOTA and what is stated in the
Handlook Therefore & strongly, believe in Itelf member wolated
9-23-04 comfidentiality Thomas Showing Matter
DATE SIGNATURE OF REQUESTER
Part B- RESPONSE
$\alpha$
See attached.
EXHIBIT A CONT.

	DATE	WARDEN OR REGIONAL DIRECTOR		
If dissatisfied wit	h this response, you may appeal to the Regional Director. Your app	peal must be received in the Region	ial Office within 20 calenda <u>r d</u> a	ys of the date of this response.
ORIGINAI	: RETURN TO INMATE		case number: 3	S:3635-F <b>3</b>
Part C- RE	СЕІРТ		CASE NUMBER:	
Return to: _	LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
SUBJECT:				
USP LVN	DATE PRANTED ON RECYCLED PARER	RECIPIENT'S SIGNATURE (STAFF MEMBER)		BP-229(13) APRIL 1982

MATHERLY, Thomas Reg. No. 34209-037 Unit 1A Remedy ID #: 353635-F2

#### Part B - RESPONSE

This is in response to your Request for Administrative Remedy dated September 23, 2004, in which you allege a staff member provided another inmate with information from your PSI. Specifically, you state an inmate assigned to your general population cell confronted you with information contained in your PSI. You state you do not have a copy of your PSI, and you have not given anyone permission to obtain your PSI through the Freedom of Information Act. You also state your mother confirmed, through the Clerk of Courts, information contained in a PSI is not part of the public record. Therefore, you surmise staff provided information from your PSI to the inmate who confronted you.

It is the policy of the Bureau of Prisons, and the practice at FCI Schuylkill, to take allegations such as yours seriously, and to investigate these allegations. Therefore, your allegations have been referred to the appropriate office for further review and consideration. However, due to privacy interests of staff, we will not disclose to you the results or final outcome of any investigation conducted in this matter.

If you are not satisfied with this decision, you may appeal to the Regional Director, Federal Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Customs House, Second and Chestnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19106, within 20 calendar days of the date of this response.

EXHIBIT A CONT.

# Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 11 of 25 Central Office Administrative Remedy Appeal

Federal Bureau of Prisons

Type or use ball point pen. If attachments are needed, submit four ments must be submitted with this appeal.	copies. One copy each of the ec	ompleted BP DIR-9 and B	P-DtR 10, including any attach-
From: Platterly Themas Shane LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	<u> 3ዛኤርዓዕታን</u> REG. NO.	18 (SHO)	FCF Schoy 1kill INSTITUTION
Part A-REASON FOR APPEAL & here gone	ten the limiten	+ Regresul Dr	rector about
this senutive sure A stepp.			
about my come to an immate			
The enmerte total me details			
my first consection and payed			
Both of which is in my Pas			
from the outside best after a			ant have
not likely. The Pit is not in			
it in my property became			
the land the de a FOTH on m			
the handlook han. a believe	ve a signater h	esticy Atheria	s see surpercent
and the person fires for giving	the tuper sug	copering.	
2-16-05	71.m	Same	NAL
DATE		SIGNATURE OF I	REQUESTER
Part B—RESPONSE			
			-
	EXHIBIT B		
	ZAMIDII D		

F	7 m 8 m		
DATE	· · · · <u></u>	GENERAL COUNS	SEL.
ORIGINAL: RETURN TO INMATE		CASE NUMBER:	353635-1
Part C—RECEIPT	.,	CASE NUMBER:	
Return to: LAST NAME, FIRST, MIDDLE INITIAL	REG. NO.	UNIT	INSTITUTION
SUBJECT:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DATE USP LVN	SIGNATURE OF RECIP	IENT OF CENTRAL OFFICE AP	PEAL BP-231(13) APRIL 1982

REJECTION MOTICE - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: APRIL 1, 2005

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REME COORDINATOR

BOP CENTRAL OFFICE

PETERSBURG MED FC1 UNT OTR: 204-255LAD

PETERSBURG, VA 23804

FOR THE REASONS LISTED BELOW, THIS CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL IS BEING REJECTED AND RETURNED TO YOU. YOU SHOULD INCLUDE A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH ANY FUTURE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING THE REJECTION.

REMEDY ID : 353635-A1 C DATE RECEIVED : FEBRUARY 23, 2005 CENTRAL OFFICE APPEAL

SUBJECT 1 SUBJECT 2 : UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF

INCIDENT RPT NO:

REJECT REASON 1: YOUR APPEAL IS UNTIMELY. CENTRAL OFFICE APPEALS
MUST BE RECEIVED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE REGIONAL
DIRECTOR'S RESPONSE. THIS TIME LIMIT INCLUDES MAIL TIME.

REJECT REASON 2: YOU DID NOT PROVIDE A COPY OF YOUR REGIONAL OFFICE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY APPEAL (BP-10) FORM PROVIDED A COPY OF THE (BP-10) RESPONSE FROM THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR.

REJECT REASON 3: SEE REMARKS.

: YOUR APPEAL WAS DUE ON 01-05-2005. YOU NEED STAFF VERIFICATION ON BOP LETTERHEAD TO DOCUMENT THAT UNTIMELINESS WAS NOT YOUR FAULT. REMARKS

EXHIBIT B CONT.

To General Counsel

In accordance ter PS 1330.13 tection 12 I am giving about with my appeal. I have wanted for the Regional Office to reply and they have not I have even sent a letter requesting a statue update. Have not received a response to that either. The staff have at FCT Schoylkill have not informed me of any changer, responses or extension. Therefore that is the recome for the last of the BP-10.

Show Matth

### EXHIBIT B CONT





#### RECEIPT - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: NOVEMBER 16, 2004

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR

SCHUYLKILL FCI

TO : THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY, 34209-037 SCHUYLKILL FCI UNT: 1 QTR:

QTR: Z02-195LAD

THIS ACKNOWLEDGES THE RECEIPT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY REQUEST IDENTIFIED BELOW:

REMEDY ID : 353635-F2

DATE RECEIVED : OCTOBER 20, 2004
RESPONSE DUE : NOVEMBER 9, 2004
SUBJECT 1 : UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF
SUBJECT 2 : INCLUDENT DET NO

INCIDENT RPT NO:

EXHIBIT B CONT

#### RECEIPT - ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY

DATE: NOVEMBER 16, 2004

FROM: ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDY COORDINATOR

NORTHEAST REGIONAL OFFICE

TO : THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY, 34209-037 SCHUYLKILL FCI UNT: 1 QTR:

QTR: Z02-195LAD

THIS ACKNOWLEDGES THE RECEIPT OF THE REGIONAL APPEAL IDENTIFIED BELOW:

DATE RECEIVED: : 353635-R1

DATE RECEIVED: : NOVEMBER 8, 2004

RESPONSE DUE: : DECEMBER 8, 2004 - January 8, 2005

SUBJECT 1: UNPROFESSIONAL, INAPPROPRIATE CONDUCT OR MISCONDUCT BY STAFF

SUBJECT 2: :

INCIDENT RPT NO:

EXHIBIT B CONT.

PS 1330.13 CN-03, 10/07/97 Page 11

inmate's resubmission or direct return to that lower level), or may accept the submission for filing. The inmate shall be informed of the decision by delivery of either a receipt or rejection notice.

[RESPONSE TIME §542.18. If accepted, a Request or Appeal 12. is considered filed on the date it is logged into the Administrative Remedy Index as received. Once filed, response shall be made by the Warden or CCM within 20 calendar days; by the Regional Director within 30 calendar days; and by the General Counsel within 40 calendar days. If the Request is determined to be of an emergency nature which threatens the inmate's immediate health or welfare, the Warden shall respond not later than the third calendar day after filing. If the time period for response to a Request or Appeal is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, the time for response may be extended once by 20 days at the institution level, 30 days at the regional level, or 20 days at the Central Office level. Staff shall inform the inmate of this extension in writing. Staff shall respond in writing to all filed Requests or Appeals. inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including extension, the inmate may consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level.]

The date a Request or an Appeal is received in the Administrative Remedy index is entered into SENTRY as the "Date Rcv", and should be the date it is first received and date-stamped in the Administrative Remedy Clerk's office. Notice of extension ordinarily is made via SENTRY notice.

#### 13. REMEDY PROCESSING

a. Receipt. Upon receiving a Request or Appeal, the Administrative Remedy Clerk shall stamp the form with the date received, log it into the SENTRY index as received on that date, and write the "Remedy ID" as assigned by SENTRY on the form. Once a submission is entered into the system, any subsequent submissions or appeals of that case shall be entered into SENTRY using the same Case Number. The "Case Number" is the purely numerical part of the "Remedy ID" which precedes the hyphen and "Submission ID."

All submissions received by the Clerk, whether accepted or rejected, shall be entered into SENTRY in accordance with the SENTRY Administrative Remedy Technical Reference Manual.

Sensitive issues, when the inmate claims that his or her safety or well-being would be placed in danger if it became known at the institution that the inmate was pursuing the issue, should be withheld from logging in until answered and/or should be logged into SENTRY with sufficient vagueness as to subject code and abstract to accommodate the inmate's concerns.

### EXHIBIT C

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
ALBERT V. BRYAN U.S. COURTHOUSE
401 COURTHOUSE SQUARE
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314-5798

ELIZABETH H. PARET CLERK OF COURT CIVIL 299-2101
CRIMINAL 299-2102
JURY/NATURALIZATION 299-2104
FINANCIAL 299-2105
SYSTEM 299-2106
ADMINISTRATION 299-2107

October 7, 2004

Thomas Shane Matherly # 34208037 FCI Schuykill- SHU P.O. Box 759 Minersville, PA 17954-0759

Re: Case number 1:00cr238 and 1:03cr422

Dear Mr. Matherly:

On October 4, 2004, we received a letter from you regarding information about Presentence Reports. Presentence Reports are filed Under Seal and to obtain a copy you would need to contact the Probation Office. All other documents that are not filed Under Seal are available for the public to review.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact the Clerk's Office at (703) 299-2101.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth H. Paret, Clerk

EXHIBIT D

U.S. Department of Justice



Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

February 7, 2005

MR THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY \*\*34209037 POST OFFICE BOX 759 MINERSVILLE, PA 17954 0759

Request No.: 1013266-000

Subject: MATHERLY, THOMAS SHANE

#### Dear Mr. Matherly:

- This acknowledges receipt of your Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request  $\infty$ to the FBI. The FOIPA number listed above has been assigned to your request.
- For an accurate search of our records, please provide the complete name, alias, date and place of birth for the subject of your request. Any other specific data you could provide such as prior addresses, or employment information would also be helpful. If your subject is deceased, please include date and proof of death.
- To make sure information about you is not released to someone else, we require your notarized signature or, in place of a notarized signature, a declaration pursuant to Title 28, United States Code 1746. For your convenience, the reverse side of this letter contains a form which may be used for this purpose.
- If you want the FBI's Criminal Justice Information System (CJIS) to perform a search for your arrest record, please follow the enclosed instructions in Attorney General Order 556-73. You must submit fingerprint impressions so a comparison can be made with the records kept by CJIS. This is to make sure your information is not released to an unauthorized person.
- We are searching the indices to our central records system at FBI Headquarters for the Ø information you requested, and will inform you of the results as soon as possible.
- Processing delays have been caused by the large number of requests received by the FOIPA. We will process your request(s) as soon as possible.

Your request has been assigned the number indicated above. Please use this number in all correspondence with us. Your patience is appreciated.

Sincerely yours,

David M. Hardy Section Chief, Record/Information

Dissemination Section

# Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-REKH Popument of 1. Filed 05/06/05 Page 19 of 25 U.S. Department of Justice



#### Federal Bureau of Investigation

Washington, D.C. 20535

March 14, 2005

MR THOMAS SHANE MATHERLY \*\*34209037 POST OFFICE BOX 759 MINERSVILLE, PA 17954 0759

Request No.: 1013266- 000

Subject: MATHERLY, THOMAS SHANE

#### Dear Requester:

This is in response to your Freedom of Information-Privacy Acts (FOIPA) request noted above.

To promptly respond to our requests, we concentrate on identifying main files in the Central Records System at FBI Headquarters. No records pertinent to your FOIPA request was located by a search of the automated indices. No records pertinent to your FOIPA request was located by a search of Electronic Surveillance records.

You may file an administrative appeal by writing to the Co-Director, Office of Information and Privacy, United States Department of Justice, Flag Building, Suite 570, Washington, D.C. 20530, within sixty days from the date of this letter. The envelope and the letter should be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Appeal" or "Information Appeal." Please cite the FOIPA number assigned to your request so that it may be easily identified.

If you believe that files exist in one fo the FBI field offices, it is incumbent upon you to direct your request to the appropriate office.

Sincerely yours,

David M. Hardy Section Chief Record/Information Dissemination Section Records Management Division



#### Federal Bureau of Prisons

#### Northeast Regional Office

U.S. Custom House - 7th Floor 2nd & Chestnut Streets Philadelphia, PA. 19106

February 10, 2005

Thomas Shane Matherly, Reg. No. 34209-037 Federal Correctional Institution - Schuylkill P.O. Box 759 Minersville, Pennsylvania 17954

RE: FOIA Request No. 2005-2589

Dear Mr. Matherly:

This is in response to your request for information that was received by this agency on January 28, 2005. You request a copy of all records that are maintained by the Federal Bureau of Prisons regarding you.

In accordance with Bureau of Prisons' Program Statement 1351.05, Release of Information, you may review your central and medical files by contacting staff at your institution to make arrangements for a local review. Copies of the releasable portions of your central and medical files may be obtained locally.

I trust this is responsive to your request.

Sincerely,

Henry J. Sadowski Regional Counsel

EXHIBIT F



Executive Office for United States Attorneys Freedom of Information/Privacy Act Unit 600 E Street, N.W., Room 7300 Washington, D.C. 20530 202-616-6757 Fax 202-616-6478

.)

Requester: Shane Matherly Request Number: 05-374

Subject of Request: Self

Dear Requester:

Your Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act request has been received. Since the files and records of United States Attorneys are located in more than 100 separate offices throughout the country, we ask that you identify the specific U.S. Attorney's office(s) where you believe the requested records exist. This would primarily be the district(s) in which prosecution or <a href="https://linear.com/litigation">litigation</a> occurred.

By making a FOIA/PA request, you agree to pay fees up to \$25, as stated in 28 C.F.R. §16.3(c), unless you request a waiver of fees (according to requirements in 28 C.F.R. §16.11(k)). Indigency does not constitute a basis for a fee waiver. Please note that pursuant to 28 C.F.R. §16.11, we are required to charge fees for time used to search for the documents you have requested and for duplication of all pages released to you. Normally, search time is charged at a rate of \$28 per hour after the first two hours which are free, and duplication fees are \$0.10 per page after the first 100 pages which are free. Please do not send any payment at this time! If we anticipate that fees will exceed \$25 or the amount you state in your letter (if greater than \$25), we will normally notify you of our estimate of fees. After we received your agreement to pay for the expected fees (or you have narrowed your request to reduce fees) and we have processed your request, we will require payment for the accumulated charges before we release any documents to you (in excess of 100 free pages). Without such payment, your request file will be closed without further action.

Once you have corrected the above deficiencies, please submit a new request for the documents. This is a final determination and your request for information has been closed. When we have received your new, corrected request, we will open a new file for you.

#### EXHIBIT G

(Page 1 of 2) Form No. 003B - 6/02

Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 22 of 25

FEB 14 2005

You may appeal my decision in this matter by writing within sixty (60) days, to:

Office of Information and Privacy United States Department of Justice Flag Building, Suite 570 Washington, D.C. 20530

Both the envelope and the letter of appeal must be clearly marked "Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Appeal."

After the appeal has been decided, you may have judicial review by filing a complaint in the United States District Court for the judicial district in which you reside or have your principal place of business; the judicial district in which the requested records, if any, are located; or in the District of Columbia.

Sincerely,

Maria a. Orderle

Marie A. O'Rourke Assistant Director

> (Page 2 of 2) Form No. 003B - 6/02

# Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 23 of 25

#### EXHIBIT H



# U. S. Department of Justice Criminal Division

Washington, D.C. 20530

CRM-200500195P

MAR 2 2005

Thomas Matherly Reg. No. 34209-037 FCI Schuylkill - SHU P.O. Box 759 Minersville, PA 17954

Dear Mr. Matherly:

This will acknowledge receipt of your letter of December 09, 2004, requesting records relating to you.

We were unable to search for the records you requested because you have not furnished the following item(s) in compliance with 28 C.F.R. 16.41.

- [ ] Certification of Identification Form. Notarized signature or Declaration pursuant to 28 U.S.C. Section 1746.
- [X] Privacy Act Identification and Request Form. This form is requested because our experience indicates that many persons throughout the country have the same or similar names.
- [X] CURRENT Descriptive List of Systems of Records Maintained by the Criminal Division. Please review this list and indicate which systems you wished searched.

A copy of each requested form is enclosed.

We are closing out this request. Upon receipt of the completed form(s), we will assign a new number to your request and process it. Please return the requested form(s) to:

Thomas J. McIntyre, Chief FOIA/PA Unit, Criminal Division DOJ, Keeney Building, Suite 1127 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530.

If you treat this response as a denial, you have a right to an administrative appeal. The appeal should be in writing and addressed to: Assistant Attorney General, Office of Legal Policy (Attention:

# Case 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/05 Page 24 of 25

Office of Information and Privacy) United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C. 20530. The envelope and letter should be clearly marked, "FOIA/PA Appeal". If you exercise this right and your appeal is denied, you also have the right to seek judicial review of this action in the federal judicial district (1) in which you reside, (2) in which you have your principal place of business, (3) in which the records denied are located, or (4) for the District of Columbia.

Sincerely,

Lhomas J. Mchtpe Thomas J. McIntyre, Chief

Freedom of Information Act/Privacy Act Unit

Office of Enforcement Operations

Criminal Division

Shane Matherly 34209-037
FCI Butner UCASE 1:05-cv-00815-WWC-PT Document 11 Filed 05/06/0
PO Box 1000
Butner NC 27509-1000

United States District Court Office of the Clerk 235 N. Washington Ave Scranton PA 18501

MAY 0 8 2085

Special Mail

*հիկներհիւթիկարկիչինուներին*